



<http://ijgt.ui.ac.ir>



[www.ui.ac.ir](http://www.ui.ac.ir)

## REMARK ON LAQUER’S THEOREM FOR CIRCULANT DETERMINANTS

NAOYA YAMAGUCHI AND YUKA YAMAGUCHI\*

ABSTRACT. Olga Taussky-Todd suggested the problem of determining the possible values of integer circulant determinants. To solve a special case of the problem, Laquer gave a factorization of circulant determinants. In this paper, we give a modest generalization of Laquer’s theorem. Also, we give an application of the generalization to integer group determinants.

### 1. Introduction

For a finite group  $G$ , let  $x_g$  be an indeterminate for each  $g \in G$ , and let  $\mathbb{Z}[x_g]$  be the multivariate polynomial ring in  $x_g$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The group determinant  $\Theta(G)$  of  $G$  is defined as follows ([3], [4]; see also [2], [6], [7]):

$$\Theta(G) := \det (x_{gh^{-1}})_{g,h \in G} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_g].$$

When  $G$  is a cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{n-1}\}$ , the polynomial  $\Theta(G)$  is called a circulant determinant and written as  $C_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $x_{i+1}$  denotes  $x_{\bar{i}}$ .

When  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are integers,  $C_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is called an integer circulant determinant. Olga Taussky-Todd suggested the problem of determining the possible values of integer circulant determinants [11]; that is, determining the set

$$S(n) := \{C_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

---

Communicated by Attila Maroti.

MSC(2010): Primary: 15A15; Secondary: 15B99.

Keywords: Integer group determinant, integer circulant determinant, group determinant, circulant determinant.

Article Type: Research Paper.

Received: 06 April 2022, Accepted: 13 June 2022.

\*Corresponding author.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.22108/IJGT.2022.133217.1791> .

To solve the  $n = 2p$  case, where  $p$  is an odd prime, Laquer [10, Theorem 2] gave the following theorem: *Let  $n = rs$ , where  $r$  and  $s$  are relatively prime. Then*

$$C_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} C_r(y_1^i, y_2^i, \dots, y_r^i), \quad y_j^i := \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \zeta_s^{i(kr+j-1)} x_{kr+j},$$

where  $\zeta_s$  is a primitive  $s$ -th root of unity. We call this theorem Laquer’s theorem. From this theorem, when  $r$  is odd and  $s = 2$ , an integer circulant determinant  $C_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  can be written as a product of 2 integer circulant determinants. As a result of this consideration, Laquer [10, Theorem 10] showed that

$$S(2p) = (\mathbb{Z}_2^* \cup 4\mathbb{Z}) \cap (\mathbb{Z}_p^* \cup p^2\mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_m^* := \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \gcd(a, m) = 1\}$  for any positive integer  $m$ .

Laquer’s theorem was proved by using a special case of Dedekind’s theorem. For a finite group  $G$ , let  $\widehat{G}$  be a complete set of representatives of the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Dedekind’s theorem is as follows (e.g., [5], [9], [13], [14]): *When  $G$  is abelian,  $\Theta(G)$  can be factorized into irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{C}$  as*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)x_g.$$

Let  $\Theta(G)[x_g \mapsto y_g]$  be the polynomial obtained by replacing the variable  $x_g$  in  $\Theta(G)$  with  $y_g$  for any  $g \in G$ . From Dedekind’s theorem, we obtain a modest generalization of Laquer’s theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G = H \times K$  be a direct product of finite abelian groups. Then we have*

$$\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{K}} \Theta(H) \left[ x_h \mapsto \sum_{k \in K} \chi(k)x_{hk} \right].$$

We apply this theorem to integer group determinants. A group determinant called an integer group determinant when its variables are integers. For a finite group  $G$ , let

$$S(G) := \{\det(x_{gh^{-1}})_{g,h \in G} \mid x_g \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad S(G)_{\text{even}} := S(G) \cap 2\mathbb{Z}.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $G = H \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^l$ , where  $H$  is a finite abelian group, and let*

$$M := \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2^m \text{ divides every element of } S(H)_{\text{even}}\}.$$

Then we have

$$S(G)_{\text{even}} \subset 2^{M \cdot 2^l} \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is well-known that  $S(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\text{even}} = 4\mathbb{Z}$ . Also, Kaiblinger [8, Theorem 1.1, Example 3.3] showed that  $S(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})_{\text{even}} \subset 2^{n+2}\mathbb{Z}$  and  $S(\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z})_{\text{even}} \not\subset 2^{n+3}\mathbb{Z}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore, as a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we have the following.

**Corollary 1.3.** *For any  $n \geq 2$ , the following hold:*

- (1) *For  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ , we have  $S(G)_{\text{even}} \subset 2^{2 \cdot 2^{n-1}} \mathbb{Z} = 2^{2^n} \mathbb{Z}$ ;*

(2) For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $S(G)_{\text{even}} \subset 2^{2(n+2)}\mathbb{Z}$ .

For the  $n = 2$  and  $3$  cases of  $G$  in (1),  $S(G)$  were determined in [1] and [12], respectively, and for the  $n = 2$  case of  $G$  in (2),  $S(G)$  was determined in [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} S((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2) &= \{4m + 1, 2^4(2m + 1), 2^6m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ S((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3) &= \{8m + 1, 2^8(4m + 1), 2^{12}m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ S(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) &= \{8m + 1, 2^8m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

These results imply that the upper inclusions in Corollary 1.3 (1) and (2) are best possible in the sense that

$$S((\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n)_{\text{even}} \not\subset 2^{2n+1}\mathbb{Z}, \quad S(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{\text{even}} \not\subset 2^9\mathbb{Z},$$

where  $n = 2, 3$ . Furthermore, for  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  with an arbitrary  $n$ , putting  $x_e = 2$  and  $x_g = 0$  for all  $g \neq e$ , where  $e$  is the unit element of  $G$ , we have  $\Theta(G) = 2^{2n}$ . That is,  $S(G)_{\text{even}} \not\subset 2^{2n+1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

### 2. Proof of Theorems

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* From Dedekind’s theorem and  $\widehat{G} = \widehat{H} \times \widehat{K}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(G) &= \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g)x_g \\ &= \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \prod_{\chi' \in \widehat{K}} \sum_{h \in H} \sum_{k \in K} \chi(h)\chi'(k)x_{hk} \\ &= \prod_{\chi' \in \widehat{K}} \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \left( \sum_{k \in K} \chi'(k)x_{hk} \right) \\ &= \prod_{\chi' \in \widehat{K}} \Theta(H) \left[ x_h \mapsto \sum_{k \in K} \chi'(k)x_{hk} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

□

We show that Laquer’s theorem is a special case of Theorem 1.1. Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $n = rs$  where  $r$  and  $s$  are relatively prime. Since

$$G = \langle s \rangle \times \langle r \rangle = \{ \overline{as} + \overline{br} \mid 0 \leq a \leq r - 1, 0 \leq b \leq s - 1 \},$$

from Theorem 1.1, we have

$$C_n(x_{\overline{0}}, x_{\overline{1}}, \dots, x_{\overline{n-1}}) = \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} \prod_{l=0}^{r-1} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \zeta_r^{l(as)} y_{\overline{as}}^i, \quad y_{\overline{as}}^i := \sum_{b=0}^{s-1} \zeta_s^{i(br)} x_{\overline{as+br}}.$$

From  $\{as + br \mid 0 \leq a \leq r - 1, 0 \leq b \leq s - 1\} = \{j - 1 + kr \mid 1 \leq j \leq r, 0 \leq k \leq s - 1\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \zeta_r^{l(as)} y_{as}^i &= \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \sum_{b=0}^{s-1} \zeta_r^{l(as+br)} \zeta_s^{i(as+br)} x_{as+br} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \zeta_r^{l(j-1+kr)} \zeta_s^{i(j-1+kr)} x_{j-1+kr} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \zeta_r^{l(j-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} \zeta_s^{i(j-1+kr)} x_{j-1+kr}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, denoting  $x_{\bar{i}}$  by  $x_{i+1}$ , we obtain Laquer’s theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $K := (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^l$  and let  $x_g \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $g \in G$ . For any  $\chi \in \widehat{K}$ , since  $\text{Im}(\chi) \in \{\pm 1\}$ ,  $\alpha_\chi := \Theta(H) [x_h \mapsto \sum_{k \in K} \chi(k)x_{hk}]$  is an integer group determinant and

$$\alpha_\chi \equiv \alpha_{\chi_1} \pmod{2},$$

where  $\chi_1$  is the trivial character of  $K$ . Suppose that  $\Theta(G)$  is even. Then from Theorem 1.1 and the definition of  $M$ ,  $\alpha_\chi$  are divisible by  $2^M$  for all  $\chi \in \widehat{K}$ . Therefore,  $\Theta(G) = \prod_{\chi \in \widehat{K}} \alpha_\chi$  is divisible by  $(2^M)^{|K|} = (2^M)^{2^l}$ , where  $|K|$  denotes the order of  $K$ . □

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank everyone who provided suggestions for improving this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Boerkoel and Ch. Pinner, Minimal group determinants and the Lind-Lehmer problem for dihedral groups, *Acta Arith.*, **186** (2018) 377–395.
- [2] K. Conrad, The origin of representation theory, *Enseign. Math. (2)*, **44** (1998) 361–392.
- [3] F. G. Frobenius, *Über die primfactoren der grupp endeterminante*, Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1343–1382, 1896, Reprinted in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Band III, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1968 38–77.
- [4] F. G. Frobenius, *Über gruppencharaktere*, Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 985–1021 1896, Reprinted in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Band III, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1968 1–37.
- [5] T. Hawkins, The origins of the theory of group characters, *Arch. History Exact Sci.*, **7** (1971) 142–170.
- [6] K. W. Johnson, On the group determinant, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **109** (1991) 299–311.
- [7] K. W. Johnson, *Group matrices, group determinants and representation theory*, The mathematical legacy of Frobenius, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [8] N. Kaiblinger, Progress on Olga Taussky-Todd’s circulant problem, *Ramanujan J.*, **28** (2012) 45–60.
- [9] T. Y. Lam, Representations of finite groups: a hundred years. I, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1998) 361–372.
- [10] H. T. Laquer, *Values of circulants with integer entries*, A collection of manuscripts related to the Fibonacci sequence, Fibonacci Assoc., Santa Clara, Calif., 1980 212–217.

- [11] M. Newman, On a problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd, *Illinois J. Math.*, **24** (1980) 156–158.
- [12] Ch. Pinner and Ch. Smyth, Integer group determinants for small groups, *Ramanujan J.*, **51** (2020) 421–453.
- [13] B. L. van der Waerden, *A history of algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, From al-Khwārizmī to Emmy Noether, 1985.
- [14] N. Yamaguchi, An extension and a generalization of Dedekind's theorem, *Int. J. Group Theory*, **6** (2017) 5–11.

**Naoya Yamaguchi**

Faculty of Education, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan

Email: [n-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp](mailto:n-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp)

**Yuka Yamaguchi**

Faculty of Education, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan

Email: [y-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp](mailto:y-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp)