



<http://ijgt.ui.ac.ir>



[www.ui.ac.ir](http://www.ui.ac.ir)

## SYMMETRIC DESIGNS AND PROJECTIVE SPECIAL UNITARY GROUPS $PSU_5(q)$

ASHRAF DANESHKHAH

**ABSTRACT.** In this article, we prove that if a nontrivial symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design admit a flag-transitive and point-primitive automorphism group  $G$ , then the socle  $X$  of  $G$  cannot be a projective special unitary group of dimension five. As a corollary, we list all exist nineteen non-isomorphism such designs in which  $\lambda \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 16, 18\}$  and  $X = PSU_n(q)$  with  $(n, q) \in \{(2, 7), (2, 9), (2, 11), (3, 3), (4, 2)\}$ .

### 1. Introduction

A *symmetric*  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design is an incidence structure  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$  consisting of a set  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $v$  points and a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $v$  blocks such that every point is incident with exactly  $k$  blocks, and every pair of blocks is incident with exactly  $\lambda$  points. A *nontrivial* symmetric design is one in which  $2 < k < v - 1$ . A *flag* of  $\mathcal{D}$  is an incident pair  $(\alpha, B)$  where  $\alpha$  and  $B$  are a point and a block of  $\mathcal{D}$ , respectively. An *automorphism* of a symmetric design  $\mathcal{D}$  is a permutation of the points permuting the blocks and preserving the incidence relation. An automorphism group  $G$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is called *flag-transitive* if it is transitive on the set of flags of  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $G$  is primitive on the point set  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $G$  is said to be *point-primitive*. We here adopt the standard notation for finite simple groups of Lie type, for example, we use  $PSL_n(q)$ ,  $PSP_n(q)$ ,  $PSU_n(q)$ ,  $P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $P\Omega_{2n}^{\pm}(q)$  to denote the finite classical simple groups. Symmetric and alternating groups on  $n$  letters are denoted by  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ , respectively. A group  $G$  is said to be *almost simple* with socle  $X$  if  $X \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a nonabelian simple group. Further notation and definitions in both design theory and group theory are standard and can be found, for example in [5, 8, 11, 13].

The main aim of this paper is to study flag-transitive symmetric designs. In [18], Praeger and Zhou study point-imprimitive symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  designs and give a classification of such designs in terms of

---

Communicated by Jamshid Moori.

MSC(2010): Primary: 05B05; Secondary: 05B25, 20B25.

Keywords: Symmetric design, flag-transitive, point-primitive, automorphism group.

Received: 18 Jun 2020, Accepted: 30 August 2021.

Article Type: Research Paper.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.22108/IJGT.2021.123581.1630> .

their parameters. In the case where, a symmetric design admits a flag-transitive and point-primitive automorphism group, for  $\lambda \leq 100$ , the only type of primitive groups might occur is either almost simple, or affine [15, 21]. Although, it is still unknown for larger  $\lambda$  such an automorphism group is of these two types, it is somehow interesting to study such designs whose automorphism group  $G$  is an almost simple group with socle  $X$ . This paper is part of contribution to classification of symmetric designs admitting flag-transitive and point-primitive finite almost simple automorphism groups of Lie type of small dimension, see [1, 3, 2, 4, 9]. In this paper, we continue this project and study nontrivial symmetric designs admitting flag-transitive and point-primitive automorphism groups whose socle is a projective special unitary group of dimension five.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nontrivial symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design. If  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$  is flag-transitive and point-primitive, then the socle of  $X$  cannot be  $\text{PSU}_5(q)$ .*

As a corollary, we obtain all nontrivial symmetric designs admitting an automorphism group whose socle is a projective special unitary group of dimension at most five.

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nontrivial symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design and let  $\alpha$  be a point of  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $G$  is a flag-transitive and point-primitive almost simple automorphism group of  $\mathcal{D}$  with socle  $X = \text{PSU}_n(q)$  a projective special unitary group of dimension at most five, then  $\lambda \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 16, 18\}$  and  $v, k, \lambda, X, G_\alpha$  and  $G$  are as in one of the lines in Table 1.*

The detailed information about the designs obtained in Corollary 1.2, that is to say, those appear in Table 1, can be found in [2, 4, 6, 10, 15, 17].

**1.1. Outline of the proof.** We first note that Theorem 1.1 for the case where  $X$  is a projective special unitary group of dimension 2, 3 and 4 follows immediately from the main results in [2, 4, 9] with making some comments and remarks in the introduction of Section 3. Therefore, in Section 3, we only need to prove Theorem 1.1 for  $X = \text{PSU}_5(q)$  with  $q = p^a$ , where  $p$  is a prime number. Since the group  $G$  is point-primitive, it follows that the point-stabiliser  $H := G_\alpha$  is maximal in  $G$ , where  $\alpha$  is a point of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and so we study the coset actions of  $G$  on the set of right cosets of the maximal subgroups  $H$  of  $G$ . We then continue our argument using case by case analysis. For each maximal subgroup  $H$  recorded in Lemma 2.7, we can now find the parameter  $v$  by (3.1) deduced from Lemma 2.1. We next apply Lemma 2.6 and detailed information of local (coset) actions of  $H$  including subdegrees of  $G$  to find polynomial  $f(q)$  such that the parameter  $k$  divides  $c\lambda f(q)$ , where  $q = p^a$  and  $c$  is a divisor of  $|\text{Out}(X)|$ . Then  $mk = c\lambda f(q)$ , for some positive integer  $m < cf(q)$ . Again, by Lemma 2.6(a) and the fact that  $mk = c\lambda f(q)$ , we find parameters  $k$  and  $\lambda$  in terms of  $m, c$  and  $q$ . If  $\lambda v < k^2$  holds for almost all  $q$ , then we use Euclidian algorithm and obtain polynomials  $h(q)$  and  $r(q)$  such that  $|H \cap X| = h(q) \cdot (v - 1) + r(q)$ , and then conclude that the parameter  $k$  divides a polynomial  $F(a, m, q)$  in terms of  $m, a, h(q)$  and  $r(q)$ , where  $q = p^a$ . In most cases, the inequality  $m \cdot (v - 1) < F(a, m, q)$  holds, where the degree of  $F(a, m, q)$  in terms of  $q$  is less than the degree of  $v$ . This inequality restricts the possibilities for  $q$ . We now investigate possible parameters obtained in this way to see if any possible design arises. In this paper, we use the software GAP [12] for computational arguments. To

TABLE 1. Parameters in Corollary 1.2

Line	$v$	$k$	$\lambda$	$X$	$G_\alpha$	$G$	Designs	References*
1	7	3	1	PSU <sub>2</sub> (7)	S <sub>4</sub>	PSU <sub>2</sub> (7)	PG(2, 2)	[2, 15]
2	7	4	2	PSU <sub>2</sub> (7)	S <sub>4</sub>	PSU <sub>2</sub> (7)	Complement of line 1	[2, 15]
3	11	5	2	PSU <sub>2</sub> (11)	A <sub>5</sub>	PSU <sub>2</sub> (11)	Paley	[2, 15]
4	11	6	3	PSU <sub>2</sub> (11)	A <sub>5</sub>	PSU <sub>2</sub> (11)	Complement of line 3	[2, 15]
5	15	8	4	PSU <sub>2</sub> (9)	S <sub>4</sub>	PSU <sub>2</sub> (9)	PG(3, 2)	[2, 10]
6	36	21	12	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	PSL <sub>2</sub> (7)	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	Menon	[6]
7	36	21	12	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	PSL <sub>2</sub> (7):2	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3):2	Menon	[6]
8	36	15	6	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	S <sub>6</sub>	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	Menon	[4]
9	36	15	6	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	S <sub>6</sub> :2	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2):2	Menon	[4]
10	40	27	18	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	3 <sub>+</sub> <sup>1+2</sup> :2A <sub>4</sub>	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	Complement of PG <sub>3</sub> (3)	[4]
11	40	27	18	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	3 <sub>+</sub> <sup>1+2</sup> :2A <sub>4</sub> :2	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2):2	Complement of PG <sub>3</sub> (3)	[4]
12	40	27	18	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	3 <sup>3</sup> :S <sub>4</sub>	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	Complement of Higman design	[4]
13	40	27	18	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	3 <sup>3</sup> :S <sub>4</sub> :2	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2):2	Complement of Higman design	[4]
14	45	12	3	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	2·(A <sub>4</sub> ×A <sub>4</sub> )·2	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	-	[4, 17]
15	45	12	3	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2)	2·(A <sub>4</sub> ×A <sub>4</sub> )·2:2	PSU <sub>4</sub> (2):2	-	[4, 17]
16	63	32	16	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	4·S <sub>4</sub>	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	-	[6]
17	63	32	16	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	2 <sub>+</sub> <sup>1+4</sup> ·S <sub>3</sub>	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3):2	-	[6]
18	63	32	16	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	4 <sup>2</sup> :S <sub>3</sub>	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	-	[6]
19	63	32	16	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3)	4 <sup>2</sup> :D <sub>12</sub>	PSU <sub>3</sub> (3):2	-	[6]

\* The last column addresses to references in which a design with the parameters in the line has been constructed.

be more precise, when we have obtained some specific  $q$ , then we can obtain  $v$  and  $|H|$ . We next examine the divisors  $k$  of  $|H|$  and check if  $\lambda = k(k - 1)/(v - 1)$  is a positive integer. Once, we obtain a parameter  $(v, k, \lambda)$ , to construct a design, we can use the command `BlockDesigns(v,B,G)` from the software package `design` in GAP [12], however, we note that the construction of the designs obtained in this manner can be found in [2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 15, 17] and therein references.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we state some useful facts in both design theory and group theory. Recall that a group  $G$  is called almost simple if  $X \trianglelefteq G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ , where  $X$  is a nonabelian simple group. We start this section with the following elementary and useful fact:

**Lemma 2.1.** [1, Lemma 2.2] Let  $G$  be an almost simple group with socle  $X$ , and let  $H$  be maximal in  $G$  not containing  $X$ . Then  $G = HX$  and  $|H|$  divides  $|\text{Out}(X)| \cdot |X \cap H|$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design admitting a flag-transitive and point-primitive almost simple automorphism group  $G$  with socle  $X$  of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ . Suppose

TABLE 2. Some subdegrees of almost simple groups with socle  $\text{PSU}_5(q)$ .

Line	$H \cap X$	$c$
1	$\widehat{\text{GU}}_4(q)$	$(q+1)(q^4-1)$
2	$\widehat{(\text{SU}_3(q) \times \text{SU}_2(q))} : (q+1)$	$(q^2-1)(q^3+1)$

also that the point-stabiliser  $G_\alpha$ , not containing  $X$ , is not a parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\gcd(p, v-1) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $G_\alpha$  is maximal in  $G$ , then by Tits' Lemma [20, 1.6],  $p$  divides  $|G : G_\alpha| = v$ , and so  $\gcd(p, v-1) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** [14, 3.9] *If  $X = \text{PSU}_n(q)$  acts on the set of cosets of a maximal parabolic subgroup, then there is a unique subdegree which is a power of  $p$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be an almost simple group with socle  $X = \text{PSU}_5(q)$ , and let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$  with  $H \cap X$  being as in the second column of Table 2. Then the action of  $G$  on the cosets of  $H$  has subdegrees dividing the numbers  $c$  listed in the last column of Table 2.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $H \cap X$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\text{GU}}_4(q)$ . In this case,  $H$  stabilises a pair of non-degenerate subspaces which are mutually orthogonal and span the underlying space  $V$ . Thus  $H = N_G(W)$  where  $W$  is a 1-dimensional non-degenerate subspace. Taking  $\alpha = \langle u_1 \rangle$  and  $\beta = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ , by [19, p. 336], we see that  $|G_\alpha : G_{\alpha\beta}|$  divides  $(q+1)(q^4-1)$ . Suppose now that  $H \cap X$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{(\text{SU}_3(q) \times \text{SU}_2(q))} : (q+1)$ . Again here  $H$  stabilises a pair of non-degenerate subspaces, and so  $H = N_G(W)$  where  $W$  is a 2-dimensional non-degenerate subspace. Set  $\alpha = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$  and  $\beta = \langle u_1, u_3 \rangle$ . Then, by [19, p. 336],  $|G_\alpha : G_{\alpha\beta}|$  divides  $(q^2-1)(q^3+1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design. Let  $G$  be a flag-transitive automorphism group of  $\mathcal{D}$  with simple socle  $X$  of Lie type in characteristic  $p$ . If the point-stabiliser  $H = G_\alpha$  contains a normal quasi-simple subgroup  $N$  of Lie type in characteristic  $p$  and  $p$  does not divide  $|Z(N)|$ , then  $k$  is divisible by  $|N:M|$ , for some maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* If  $B$  is a block incident with a point  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $k = |H:H_B|$ , and so  $|N:N_B|$  divides  $k$ . Now, let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $N$  such that  $N_B \leq M$ . Then  $|N:M|$  must divide  $k$ , so  $k$  is divisible by  $|N:M|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** [2, Lemma 2.1] *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design, and let  $G$  be a flag-transitive automorphism group of  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a point in  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $H := G_\alpha$ , then*

- (a)  $k(k-1) = \lambda(v-1)$ ;
- (b)  $4\lambda(v-1) + 1$  is square;
- (c)  $k \mid |H|$  and  $\lambda v < k^2$ ;
- (d)  $k \mid \gcd(\lambda(v-1), |H|)$ ;

(e)  $k \mid \lambda d$ , for all nontrivial subdegrees  $d$  of  $G$ .

If a group  $G$  acts primitively on a set  $\mathcal{P}$  with  $|\mathcal{P}| \geq 2$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the point-stabiliser  $G_\alpha$  is maximal in  $G$  [11, Corollary 1.5A]. Therefore, in our study, we need a list of all maximal subgroups of almost simple group  $G$  with socle  $X := \text{PSU}_5(q)$ . Note that if  $H$  is a maximal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $H \cap X$  is not necessarily maximal in  $X$  in which case  $H$  is called a *novelty*. By [7, Tables 8.20 and 8.21], the complete list of maximal subgroups of an almost simple group  $G$  with socle  $\text{PSU}_5(q)$  are known, and in this case, there arise only three novelties.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $G$  be an almost simple group with socle  $X = \text{PSU}_5(q)$ , and let  $H$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$  not containing  $X$ . Then  $H \cap X$  is isomorphic to one of the subgroups listed in Table 3.*

*Proof.* The maximal subgroups  $H$  of  $G$  can be read off from [7, Tables 8.20 and 8.21]. □

TABLE 3. The subgroups  $H \cap X$  of  $X = \text{PSU}_5(q)$  in Lemma 2.7.

Line	$H \cap X$	Comments
1	$\widehat{[q]^{1+6} : \text{SU}_3(q) : (q^2 - 1)}$	
2	$\widehat{[q]^{4+4} : \text{GL}_2(q^2)}$	
3	$\widehat{\text{GU}_4(q)}$	
4	$\widehat{(\text{SU}_3(q) \times \text{SU}_2(q)) : (q + 1)}$	
5	$\widehat{(q + 1)^4 : \text{S}_5}$	
6	$\widehat{[ \frac{q^2+1}{q+1} ] : 5}$	$q \geq 3$
7	$\widehat{\text{SU}_5(q_0) \cdot \gcd(\frac{q+1}{q_0+1}, 5)}$	$q = q_0^r, r$ odd prime
8	$\text{SO}_5(q)$	$q$ odd
9	$\widehat{[5^3] : \text{Sp}_2(5)}$	$q = p \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ or $q = p^2$ and $p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$
10	$\text{PSL}_2(11)$	$q = p \equiv 2, 6, 7, 8, 10 \pmod{11}$
11	$\text{PSU}_4(2)$	$q = p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$

### 3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 in the following lemmas. We first recall from Subsection 1.1 that the assertion for the case where  $X = \text{PSU}_n(q)$  with  $n = 2, 3, 4$  can be deduced from [2, 4, 9]. By revisiting [9], we obtain the missing designs in lines 6-7 and 16-19 of Table 1.

In what follows, we suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a nontrivial symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design and  $G$  is an almost simple automorphism group with simple socle  $X = \text{PSU}_5(q)$ , where  $q = p^a$  with  $p$  prime, that is to say,  $X \triangleleft G \leq \text{Aut}(X)$ . Suppose also that  $V = \mathbb{F}_q^5$  is the underlying vector space of  $X$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of size  $q$ . If  $G$  is a point-primitive automorphism group of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then the point-stabiliser  $H = G_\alpha$  is maximal in  $G$ . Let  $H_0 = H \cap X$ . Then by Lemma 2.7, the subgroup  $H_0$  is isomorphic to one of the

subgroups recorded in Table 3, and so Lemma 2.1 implies that

$$(3.1) \quad v = \frac{|X|}{|H_0|} = \frac{q^{10}(q^5 + 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)}{\gcd(5, q + 1) \cdot |H_0|}.$$

Note that  $|\text{Out}(X)| = 2a \cdot \gcd(5, q + 1)$ . Therefore, by Lemmas 2.1(b) and 2.6(c),

$$(3.2) \quad k \mid 2a \cdot \gcd(5, q + 1) \cdot |H_0|.$$

We now run through all possible subgroups  $H_0$  recorded in Table 3, and obtain the only possible cases mentioned in Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\widehat{[q]^{1+6}} : \text{SU}_3(q) : (q^2 - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* By (3.1), we have that  $v = q^7 + q^5 + q^2 + 1$ . It follows from Lemmas 2.6(e) and 2.3 that  $k$  divides  $\lambda q^2$ . Let now  $m$  be a positive integer such that  $mk = \lambda q^2$ . Since  $\lambda < k$ , we have that  $m < q^2$ . By Lemma 2.6(a),  $k(k - 1) = \lambda(v - 1)$ , and so  $\lambda q^2(k - 1) = m\lambda(q^7 + q^5 + q^2)$ . Thus,

$$(3.3) \quad k = m \cdot (q^5 + q^3 + 1) + 1 \text{ and } \lambda = m^2(q^3 + q) + \frac{m^2 + m}{q^2}.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is integer, (3.3) implies that  $q^2 \mid m^2 + m$ . Recall that  $\gcd(m, m + 1) = 1$  and  $m < q^2$ . Therefore,  $q^2$  must divide  $m + 1$ , and so  $m = q^2 - 1$ . It follows from (3.3) that  $k = (q^2 - 1)(q^5 + q^3 + 1) + 1 = q^2(q^5 - q + 1)$ . By (3.2),  $k$  divides  $2aq^{10}(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)^2$ . Therefore  $q^5 - q + 1$  must divide  $2a(q^3 + 1)$ . Thus  $q^5 - q + 1 \leq 2a(q^3 + 1)$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\widehat{[q]^{4+4}} : \text{GL}_2(q^2)$ .*

*Proof.* According to (3.1), we have that  $v = q^8 + q^5 + q^3 + 1$ . By Lemmas 2.6(e) and 2.3,  $k$  divides  $\lambda q^3$ . If  $m$  is a positive integer such that  $mk = \lambda q^3$ , then since  $\lambda < k$ , we have that  $m < q^3$ , and again by Lemma 2.6(a), we must have  $\lambda q(k - 1) = m\lambda(q^5 + q^2 + 1)$ . Thus,  $k = m \cdot (q^5 + q^2 + 1) + 1$  and

$$\lambda = m^2 q^2 + \frac{m^2(q^2 + 1) + m}{q^3}.$$

This implies that  $q^3$  divides  $m^2(q^2 + 1) + m$ . Recall that  $m < q^3$ . Therefore,  $q^3$  must divide  $m \cdot (q^2 + 1) + 1$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $m \cdot (q^2 + 1) + 1 = nq^3$ . Note that  $m < q^3$ . Thus  $nq^3 = m \cdot (q^2 + 1) + 1 < q^3(q^2 + 1) + 1$ , and so  $n \leq q^2 + 1$ . Also, we have that

$$m = \frac{nq^3 - 1}{q^2 + 1} = nq - \frac{nq + 1}{q^2 + 1}.$$

Since  $m$  is integer,  $q^2 + 1$  must divide  $nq + 1$ . Let  $s$  be a positive integer that  $nq + 1 = s \cdot (q^2 + 1)$ . Note that  $n \leq q^2 + 1$ . Therefore  $s \cdot (q^2 + 1) = nq + 1 \leq q(q^2 + 1) + 1$ , and so  $s \leq q$ . As  $nq + 1 = s \cdot (q^2 + 1)$ , it follows that  $q$  divides  $s - 1$ , and this contradicts the fact that  $s \leq q$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\widehat{\text{GU}}_4(q)$ .*

*Proof.* We note by (3.1) that  $v = q^4(q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)$ . By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6(e),  $k$  divides  $\lambda(v - 1) = \lambda(q^5 + q + 1)(q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ . Therefore,  $k$  divides  $\lambda(q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ . Let  $m$  be a positive integer that  $mk = \lambda f(q)$ , where  $f(q) = (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ . Then by Lemma 2.6(a), we have that

$$(3.4) \quad k = m \cdot (q^5 + q + 1) + 1 \text{ and } \lambda = m^2(q^2 + q) + \frac{m^2(2q + 1) + m}{(q^2 + 1)(q - 1)}.$$

where  $m < (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ . By Lemma 2.5, we conclude that  $k$  is divisible by the index of a maximal subgroup of  $\text{PSU}_4(q)$ . It follows from [7, Table 8.10] that  $k$  are divisible by  $q^3 + 1$  or  $q^3$ . If  $q^3$  would divide  $k$ , then by (3.4),  $q^3$  should divide  $m \cdot (q + 1) + 1$ . Let  $n_1$  be a positive integer such that  $m \cdot (q + 1) + 1 = n_1q^3$ . Then

$$m = \frac{n_1q^3 - 1}{q + 1} = n_1 \cdot (q^2 - q + 1) - \frac{n_1 + 1}{q + 1}.$$

Since  $m$  is a positive integer,  $q + 1$  would divide  $n_1 + 1$ , and so  $n_1 > q - 1$ . Recall that  $m < (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ . Then  $n_1q^3 = m \cdot (q + 1) + 1 < (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)(q + 1) + 1$ , and so  $n_1 < q$ , which is a contradiction. If  $q^3 + 1$  divides  $k$ , then by (3.4),  $q^3 + 1$  must divide  $m \cdot (q^2 - q - 1) + 1$ . If  $q = 2$ , then 9 must divide  $m + 1$ , where  $m < 5$ , which is impossible. Let now  $n_2$  be a positive integer such that  $m \cdot (q + 1) + 1 = n_2 \cdot (q^3 + 1)$ . As  $m < (q^2 + 1)(q - 1)$ , we have that  $n_2 < q$ . Moreover,

$$(3.5) \quad m = \frac{n_2 \cdot (q^3 + 1) - 1}{q^2 - q - 1} = n_2 \cdot (q + 1) + \frac{2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1}{q^2 - q - 1}.$$

Since  $m$  is an integer number,  $q^2 - q - 1$  must divide  $2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1$ . Let  $u$  be a positive integer number such that  $2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1 = u \cdot (q^2 - q - 1)$ . Recall that  $n_2 < q$ . Then  $u \cdot (q^2 - q - 1) = 2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1 < 2q^2 + 2q - 1$ , and so  $u \leq 3$ . If  $u = 1$ , then  $2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1 = q^2 - q - 1$ , and so  $q + 1$  must divide  $q^2 - q$ , which is impossible. If  $u = 2$ , then  $2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1 = 2(q^2 - q - 1)$ , and so  $q + 1$  must divide  $2q^2 - 2q - 1 = 2(q + 1)(q - 2) + 3$ , which is impossible. If  $u = 3$ , then  $2n_2 \cdot (q + 1) - 1 = 3(q^2 - q - 1)$ , and so  $q + 1$  must divide  $3q^2 - 3q - 2 = 3(q + 1)(q - 2) + 4$ . Thus  $q + 1$  divides 4, and so  $q = 3$ . In which case  $n_2 = 2$ , and by (3.5),  $m = 55/4$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to  $(\text{SU}_3(q) \times \text{SU}_2(q)) : (q + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* In this case,  $v = q^6(q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)(q^2 + 1)$  by (3.1). It follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6(e) that  $k$  must divide  $\lambda(q^2 - 1)(q^3 + 1)$ . On the other hand,  $k$  divides  $\lambda(v - 1) = \lambda(q^2 - q + 1)(q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1)$ . Therefore,  $k$  divides  $\lambda(q^2 - q + 1) \cdot \text{gcd}(q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1, (q - 1)(q + 1)^2)$ . Note that  $\text{gcd}(q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1, (q - 1)(q + 1)^2)$  divides 9. Let  $m$  be a positive integer that  $mk = 9\lambda f(q)$ , where  $f(q) = q^2 - q + 1$ . Then by Lemma 2.6(a), we have that

$$(3.6) \quad k = 1 + \frac{m \cdot (q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1)}{9},$$

where  $m < 9(q^2 - q + 1)$ . Note by (3.2) that  $k$  divides  $2ag(q)$ , where  $g(q) = q^4(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)^2(q + 1)$ . Then, by (3.6), we must have

$$(3.7) \quad m \cdot (q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1) + 9 \text{ divides } 18ag(q).$$

TABLE 4. Possible value for  $k$  and  $v$  when  $q \in \{2, 3\}$ .

$q$	2	3	4
$v$	1408	8404641	3562930176
$k$ divides	19440	61440	300000

Let now  $r(q) = q^9 - 6q^8 + 4q^7 + 3q^6 + q^5 - 3q^4 - 4q^3 - 2q^2 + 2q + 3$  and  $h(q) = q^2 + q - 3$ . Then  $18amh(q)[m \cdot (q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1) + 9] - 18amg(q) = 18am[r(q) + 9h(q)]$ , and so (3.7) implies that  $m \cdot (q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1) + 9$  divides  $18am[r(q) + 9h(q)]$ . Thus  $m \cdot (q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1) + 9 \leq 18am|r(q) + 9h(q)|$ , and so  $q^{10} + q^8 - q^7 + q^4 + q^3 - q - 1 < 18a|q^9 - 6q^8 + 4q^7 + 3q^6 + q^5 - 3q^4 - 4q^3 + 16q^2 + 20q - 45|$ . This inequality holds only for  $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 16, 25, 27, 32, 64\}$ . For these values of  $q$ , considering the fact that  $m < 9(q^2 - q + 1)$ , there is no possible parameters  $k$  satisfying (3.7), which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to neither  $\hat{(q+1)^4} : S_5$ , nor  $\hat{[\frac{q^5+1}{q+1}] : 5}$ .*

*Proof.* Let first  $H_0$  be isomorphic to  $\hat{(q+1)^4} : S_5$ . By (3.1), we have  $v = q^{10}(q^5 + 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)/[120 \cdot (q + 1)^4]$ , and since  $|\text{Out}(X)| = 2a \cdot \gcd(5, q + 1)$ , it follows from (3.2) that  $k$  divides  $240a(q + 1)^4$ . By [16, 22] and Lemma 2.6(c), we may assume that  $\lambda$  is at least 4, and so

$$\frac{q^{10}(q^5 + 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)}{30 \cdot (q + 1)^4} \leq \lambda v < k^2 \leq 57600a^2(q + 1)^8.$$

This implies that  $q^{10}(q^5 + 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1) < 1728000a^2(q + 1)^{12}$ . This inequality is true only when  $q \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ . Since  $k$  is a divisor of  $240a(q + 1)^4$ , for each such  $q = p^a$ , the possible values of  $k$  and  $v$  are listed in Table 4. This is a contradiction as for each  $k$  and  $v$  as in Table 4, the fraction  $k(k - 1)/(v - 1)$  is not integer.

Let now  $H_0$  be isomorphic to  $\hat{[\frac{q^5+1}{q+1}] : 5}$ . In this case (3.1) implies that  $v = q^{10}(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)(q + 1)/5$ , and since  $|\text{Out}(X)| = 2a \cdot \gcd(q + 1, 5)$ , it follows from (3.2) that  $k$  divides  $2a(q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)$ . Again by Lemma 2.6(c), we have that  $q^{10}(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)(q + 1) \leq 5\lambda v < 5k^2 \leq 20a^2 \cdot (q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)^2$ , and so  $q^{10}(q^4 - 1)(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)(q + 1) < 20a^2 \cdot (q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)^2$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\hat{\text{SU}}_5(q_0) \cdot \gcd(\frac{q_0+1}{q_0+1}, 5)$ , where  $q = q_0^r$  and  $r$  is a odd prime number.*

*Proof.* By (3.1), we have that

$$v = \frac{1}{d} \cdot \frac{q_0^{10r}(q_0^{5r} + 1)(q_0^{4r} - 1)(q_0^{3r} + 1)(q_0^{2r} - 1)}{q_0^{10}(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1)},$$

where  $d = \gcd(\frac{q_0+1}{q_0+1}, 5)$ . Note by (3.2) that  $k$  divides  $10aq_0^{10}(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1)$ . We may assume by [16, 22] that  $\lambda \geq 4$ . Moreover,  $d \in \{1, 5\}$ , and  $a^2 \leq q_0^r$  as  $q = q_0^r$  with  $r$  an odd prime number. Since  $\lambda v < k^2$ , by Lemma 2.6(b), we must have  $q_0^{10r}(q_0^{5r} + 1)(q_0^{4r} - 1)(q_0^{3r} + 1)(q_0^{2r} - 1) <$



TABLE 5. The pairs  $(X, H \cap X)$  in Lemma 3.8

$X$	$H \cap X$	$v$	$k$ divides
$\text{PSU}_5(2)$	$\text{PSL}_2(11)$	20736	1320
$\text{PSU}_5(4)$	$\tilde{5}_+^{1+2} : \text{Sp}_2(5)$	3562930176	60000
$\text{PSU}_5(9)$	$\tilde{5}_+^{1+2} : \text{Sp}_2(5)$	1051720694280527616	60000

$100q_0^{30+r}(q_0^5 + 1)^3(q_0^4 - 1)^3(q_0^3 + 1)^3(q_0^2 - 1)^3$ . Note that  $q_0^{24r-1} \leq q_0^{10r}(q_0^{5r} + 1)(q_0^{4r} - 1)(q_0^{3r} + 1)(q_0^{2r} - 1)$  and  $q_0^{30+r}(q_0^5 + 1)^3(q_0^4 - 1)^3(q_0^3 + 1)^3(q_0^2 - 1)^3 \leq q_0^{72+r}$ . Then  $q_0^{23r-1} < 100q_0^{72}$ , and so  $r = 3$ . Thus (3.1) implies that

$$(3.8) \quad v = \frac{q_0^{20}(q_0^{15} + 1)(q_0^{12} - 1)(q_0^9 + 1)(q_0^6 - 1)}{(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1) \cdot \gcd(q_0^2 - q_0 + 1, 5)}.$$

By (3.2),  $k$  divides  $2adq_0^{10}(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1)$ , where  $d = \gcd(q_0^2 - q_0 + 1, 5)$ . Then by Lemma 2.6(c), we have that  $\lambda q_0^{20}(q_0^{15} + 1)(q_0^{12} - 1)(q_0^9 + 1)(q_0^6 - 1) < 4a^2d^3q_0^{30}(q_0^5 + 1)^3(q_0^4 - 1)^3(q_0^3 + 1)^3(q_0^2 - 1)^3$ . Therefore,  $\lambda < 4a^2d^3$ . Since  $k$  divides  $2adq_0^{10}(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1)$  and  $v - 1$  is coprime to  $q_0$ ,  $k$  must divide  $2\lambda ad(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1)$ . We use again Lemma 2.6(c), and so  $\lambda v < k^2 \leq 4\lambda^2 a^2 d^2 (q_0^5 + 1)^2 (q_0^4 - 1)^2 (q_0^3 + 1)^2 (q_0^2 - 1)^2$ . Thus (3.8) implies that

$$(3.9) \quad q_0^{47} < \frac{q_0^{20}(q_0^{15} + 1)(q_0^{12} - 1)(q_0^9 + 1)(q_0^6 - 1)}{(q_0^5 + 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^3 + 1)(q_0^2 - 1)} < 4\lambda a^2 d^3.$$

Since  $\lambda < 4a^2d^3$ , it follows from (3.9) that  $q_0^{47} < 16a^4d^6$ , where  $d = \gcd(q_0^2 - q_0 + 1, 5)$ , which is impossible. □

**Lemma 3.7.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\tilde{\text{SO}}_5(q)$  with  $q$  odd.*

*Proof.* In this case, by (3.1), we have that  $v = q^6(q^5 + 1)(q^3 + 1)$ . It follows from (3.2) that  $k$  divides  $2ag(q)$ , where  $g(q) = q^4(q^4 - 1)(q^2 - 1)$ . Moreover, Lemma 2.6(a) implies that  $k$  divides  $\lambda(v - 1)$ . Let  $f(q) = 3(q - 1)^2$ . Then  $\gcd(v - 1, 2q^4(q^4 - 1)(q^2 - 1))$  divides  $f(q)$ , and so  $k$  is a divisor of  $\lambda af(q)$ . Suppose that  $m$  is a positive integer such that  $mk = \lambda af(q)$ . Since now  $k(k - 1) = \lambda(v - 1)$ , it follows that  $k = 1 + m \cdot (v - 1)/af(q)$ , and since  $k \mid 2ag(q)$ , we must have  $m \cdot (v - 1) + af(q) \mid 2a^2f(q)g(q)$ . Therefore,  $q^6(q^5 + 1)(q^3 + 1) < 2a^2f(q)g(q)$  for  $q$  odd, and this does not give rise to any possible parameters. □

**Lemma 3.8.** *The subgroup  $H_0$  cannot be isomorphic to the subgroups as in the lines 14-16 of Table 3.*

*Proof.* Let  $H_0$  be isomorphic to one of the subgroups in the lines 9-11 of Table 3. Since  $|X| \leq |\text{Out}(X)|^2 \cdot |H \cap X|^3$ , we only need to consider the pairs  $(X, H \cap X)$  in Table 5. For each such  $H \cap X$ , by (3.1), we obtain  $v$  as in the third column of Table 5. Recall that  $k$  is a divisor of  $2a \cdot \gcd(5, q + 1) \cdot |H \cap X|$  which is recorded in the fourth column of Table 5. This is a contradiction as for each  $k$  and  $v$  as in Table 5, the fraction  $k(k - 1)/(v - 1)$  is not integer. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The proof follows immediately from Lemmas 3.1–3.8. □

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** The proof follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 and the main results in [2, 4, 9].  $\square$

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank anonymous referees for providing us helpful and constructive comments and suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. H. Alavi and M. Bayat, Flag-transitive point-primitive symmetric designs and three dimensional projective special linear groups, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, **42** (2016) 201–221.
- [2] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat and A. Daneshkhah, Symmetric designs admitting flag-transitive and point-primitive automorphism groups associated to two dimensional projective special groups, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **79** (2016) 337–351.
- [3] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat and A. Daneshkhah, *Finite exceptional groups of Lie type and symmetric designs*, (Submitted), 2020.
- [4] S. H. Alavi, M. Bayat, A. Daneshkhah and S. Zang Zarin, Symmetric designs and four dimensional projective special unitary groups, *Discrete Math.*, **342** (2019) 1159–1169.
- [5] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel and H. Lenz, *Design theory*, **I**, Second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **69**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [6] S. Brai c, A. Golemac, J. Mandi c and T. Vu i c, Primitive symmetric designs with up to 2500 points, *J. Combin. Des.*, **19** (2011) 463–474.
- [7] John N. Bray, Derek F. Holt and Colva M. Roney-Dougal, *The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups*, With a foreword by Martin Liebeck. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **407**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [8] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of finite groups*, Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [9] A. Daneshkhah and S. Zang Zarin, Flag-transitive point-primitive symmetric designs and three dimensional projective special unitary groups, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, **54** (2017) 2029–2041.
- [10] U. Dempwolff, Primitive rank 3 groups on symmetric designs, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **22** (2001) 191–207.
- [11] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **163**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [12] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.0*, 2020.
- [13] D. R. Hughes and F. C. Piper, *Design theory*, Second edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [14] Martin W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and Gary M. Seitz, On the overgroups of irreducible subgroups of the finite classical groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, **55** (1987) 507–537.
- [15] E. O’Reilly-Regueiro, On primitivity and reduction for flag-transitive symmetric designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **109** (2005) 135–148.
- [16] E. O’Reilly-Regueiro, Biplanes with flag-transitive automorphism groups of almost simple type, with classical socle, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **26** (2007) 529–552.
- [17] Cheryl E. Praeger, The flag-transitive symmetric designs with 45 points, blocks of size 12 and 3 blocks on every point pair, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, **44** (2007) 115–132.
- [18] Cheryl E. Praeger and S. Zhou, Imprimitve flag-transitive symmetric designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **113** (2006) 1381–1395.

- [19] J. Saxl, On finite linear spaces with almost simple flag-transitive automorphism groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **100** (2002) 322–348.
- [20] Gary M. Seitz, Flag-transitive subgroups of Chevalley groups, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **97** (1973) 27–56.
- [21] D. Tian and S. Zhou, Flag-transitive point-primitive symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  designs with  $\lambda$  at most 100, *J. Combin. Des.*, **21** (2013) 127–141.
- [22] S. Zhou, H. Dong and W. Fang, Finite classical groups and flag-transitive triplanes, *Discrete Math.*, **309** (2009) 5183–5195.

**Ashraf Daneshkhah**

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

Email: [adanesh@basu.ac.ir](mailto:adanesh@basu.ac.ir), [daneshkhah.ashraf@gmail.com](mailto:daneshkhah.ashraf@gmail.com)