



www.theoryofgroups.ir



www.ui.ac.ir

## A PROBABILISTIC VERSION OF A THEOREM OF LÁSZLÓ KOVÁCS AND HYO-SEOB SIM

ANDREA LUCCHINI\* AND MARIAPIA MOSCATIELLO

Communicated by Patrizia Longobardi

ABSTRACT. For a finite group  $G$ , denote by  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  the smallest positive integer  $k$  with the property that the probability of generating  $G$  by  $k$  randomly chosen elements is at least  $1/e$ . Let  $G$  be a finite soluble group. Assume that for every  $p \in \pi(G)$  there exists  $G_p \leq G$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $|G : G_p|$  and  $\mathcal{V}(G_p) \leq d$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}(G) \leq d + 7$ .

### 1. Introduction

In 1991 L. G. Kovács and Hyo-Seob Sim proved that if a finite soluble group  $G$  has a family of  $d$ -generator subgroups whose indices have no common divisor, then  $G$  can be generated by  $d + 1$  elements (see [4, Theorem 2]). In this short note we want to present a probabilistic version of this theorem.

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\phi_k(G)$  be the number of ordered  $k$ -tuples  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in G^k$  such that  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = G$ , so

$$P_G(k) = \frac{\phi_k(G)}{|G|^k}$$

is the probability of  $k$  random elements from  $G$  to generate  $G$ . I. Pak defined

$$\mathcal{V}(G) = \min \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid P_G(k) \geq \frac{1}{e} \right\}.$$

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 20P05; Secondary: 20D60.

Keywords: Finite soluble groups; generation of finite groups.

Received: 14 August 2018, Accepted: 20 November 2018.

\*Corresponding author.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.22108/ijgt.2018.112531.1496>

He also pointed out that up to multiplication by (small) constants  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  is roughly  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  denotes the expected number of elements of  $G$  chosen randomly before a set of generators is found.

Assume now that a finite soluble group  $G$  has a family  $H_1, \dots, H_t$  of subgroups whose indices have no common divisor and such that  $\mathcal{V}(H_i) \leq d$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Is it true that  $\mathcal{V}(G)$  can be bounded in term of  $d$ ? We prove that the answer is affirmative.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite soluble group. Assume that for every  $p \in \pi(G)$  there exists  $G_p \leq G$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $|G : G_p|$  and  $\mathcal{V}(G_p) \leq d$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}(G) \leq d + 7$ .*

## 2. A preliminary remark

Let  $G$  be a finite soluble group and let  $\Sigma(G)$  be the set of the maximal subgroups of  $G$ . For  $M \in \Sigma(G)$ , denote by  $M_G = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g$  the normal core of  $M$  in  $G$ : clearly  $\text{soc}(G/M_G)$  is a chief factor of  $G$  and  $M/M_G$  is a complement of  $\text{soc}(G/M_G)$  in  $G/M_G$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  be a set of representatives of the irreducible  $G$ -modules that are  $G$ -isomorphic to some chief factor of  $G$  having a complement and, for every  $V \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ , let  $\Sigma_V(G)$  be the set of maximal subgroups  $M$  of  $G$  with  $\text{soc}(G/M_G) \cong_G V$ . Recall some results by Gaschütz [2]. Let

$$R_G(A) = \bigcap_{M \in \Sigma_V(G)} M_G.$$

It turns out that  $R_G(A)$  is the smallest normal subgroup contained in  $C_G(A)$  with the property that  $C_G(A)/R_G(A)$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to a direct product of copies of  $A$  and it has a complement in  $G/R_G(A)$ . The factor group  $C_G(A)/R_G(A)$  is called the  $A$ -crown of  $G$ . The non-negative integer  $\delta_G(A)$  defined by  $C_G(A)/R_G(A) \cong_G A^{\delta_G(A)}$  is called the  $A$ -rank of  $G$  and it coincides with the number of complemented factors in any chief series of  $G$  that are  $G$ -isomorphic to  $A$  (see for example [1, Section 1.3]). In particular  $G/R_G(A) \cong A^{\delta_G(A)} \rtimes H$ , with  $H \cong G/C_G(A)$ . Now set  $q_G(V) = |\text{End}_G V|$ ,  $\epsilon_G(V) = 0$  if  $V$  is a trivial  $G$ -module, 1 otherwise. We have

$$(2.1) \quad |\Sigma_V(G)| = \frac{(q_G(V)^{\delta_G(V)} - 1) |V|^{\epsilon_G(V)}}{q_G(V) - 1}.$$

Now assume that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  containing a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We want to compare  $\Sigma_p(G)$  and  $\Sigma_p(H)$ , where, for a finite soluble group  $X$ ,  $\Sigma_p(X)$  denotes the set of the maximal subgroups of  $X$  whose index is a  $p$ -power. Let  $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$  be the set of the irreducible  $G$ -modules  $V \in \mathcal{A}(G)$  whose order is a  $p$ -power.

Fix  $V \in \mathcal{A}_p(G)$ , let  $\delta = \delta_G(V)$ ,  $q = q_G(V)$ ,  $R = R_G(V)$ . Moreover set  $\overline{G} = G/R$  and  $\overline{H} = HR/R$ . We have

$$\overline{G} \cong V^\delta \rtimes X \text{ with } X \leq \text{Aut } V.$$

Since  $\overline{H}$  contains a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\overline{G}$ ,  $V^\delta \leq \overline{H}$  and, by the Dedekind law,

$$\overline{H} = \overline{G} \cap \overline{H} = V^\delta X \cap \overline{H} = V^\delta (X \cap \overline{H}),$$

hence

$$\overline{H} \cong V^\delta \rtimes Y \text{ with } Y = X \cap \overline{H}.$$

Now let  $U$  be an irreducible  $H$ -module that can be obtained as an  $H$ -epimorphic image of  $V$  (viewed as an  $H$ -module) and define

$$\Omega_U := \{Z \leq_H V \mid V/Z \cong_H U\}, \quad J_U := \bigcap_{Z \in \Omega_U} Z.$$

There exists  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $V/J_U \cong_H U^t$  and  $\delta^* := \delta_H(U) \geq t \cdot \delta$ . Notice that if  $Z \in \Omega_U$  and  $\alpha \in F = \text{End}_G V$ , then  $Z^{\alpha h} = Z^{h\alpha} = Z^\alpha$  for every  $h \in H$ , i.e.  $Z^\alpha \leq_H V$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , then the map

$$\begin{aligned} V/Z &\rightarrow V/Z^\alpha \\ v + Z &\mapsto v^\alpha + Z^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

is an  $H$ -isomorphism, so  $V/Z \cong_H V/Z^\alpha$  and  $Z^\alpha \in \Omega_U$ . It follows that  $J_U$  is  $F$ -invariant and there is a ring homomorphism

$$F \rightarrow \text{End}_H(V/J_U) \cong \text{End}_H(U^t) \cong M_{t \times t}(\text{End}_H U).$$

Let  $r = |\text{End}_H U|$  and suppose  $F^* = \langle a \rangle$ . We have that  $\langle a \rangle \leq \text{GL}(t, r)$  and this implies  $|a| \leq r^t - 1$ . In particular

$$(2.2) \quad q \leq r^t.$$

Notice that

$$(2.3) \quad |\Sigma_U(H)| = \frac{r^{\delta^*} - 1}{r - 1} |U|^{\epsilon_U} \geq \frac{r^{t \cdot \delta} - 1}{r - 1} |U|^{\epsilon_U} + \frac{r^b - 1}{r - 1} |U|^{\epsilon_U}$$

where  $b := \delta^* - t \cdot \delta$ . Set

$$\mu_V := |\Sigma_V(G)|, \quad \mu_{V,U} := \frac{r^{t \cdot \delta} - 1}{r - 1} |U|^{\epsilon_U}.$$

We have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\mu_V}{|V|} = \frac{(q^\delta - 1)|V|^{\epsilon_V}}{(q - 1)|V|} \leq \frac{q^\delta - 1}{q - 1} \leq q^\delta - 1 \leq r^{\delta \cdot t} - 1 \leq \frac{(r^{t \cdot \delta} - 1)|U|}{r - 1} \leq |U| \mu_{V,U}.$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $m_n(G)$  the number of maximal subgroups of  $G$  with index  $n$  and let

$$\mathcal{M}(G) = \sup_{n \geq 2} \frac{\log m_n(G)}{\log n}.$$

**Lemma 2.** *If  $G$  is a finite soluble group, then  $\mathcal{M}(G) \leq \mathcal{V}(G) + 2.5$ .*

*Proof.* By [5, Proposition 1.2], there exists a constant  $\gamma$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(G) \leq \mathcal{V}(G) + \gamma$  for every finite group  $G$ . From the proof of [5, Proposition 1.2] it turns out that  $\gamma \leq b + \log_2 e$ , where  $b$  must be chosen such that, for every finite group  $X$  and every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $X$  has at most  $n^b$  core-free maximal subgroups of index  $n$ . As it is noticed in [5, Theorem 1.3],  $b = 2$  will do. However it can be easily seen that for every finite soluble group  $X$  and every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $X$  has at most  $n$  core-free maximal subgroups of index  $n$ . So if we restrict our attention to the soluble case, we can take  $b = 1$  and consequently  $\mathcal{M}(G) \leq \mathcal{V}(G) + 1 + \log_2 e \leq \mathcal{V}(G) + 2.5$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Set

$$a_G(t) = \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{m_n(G)}{n^t}, \quad a_{G,p}(t) = \sum_{u \geq 1} \frac{m_{p^u}(G)}{p^{u \cdot t}}, \quad b_p(t) = \sum_{u \geq 1} \frac{m_{p^u}(G_p)}{p^{u \cdot t}}.$$

For every  $V \in \mathcal{A}_p(G)$ , let  $U \in \mathcal{A}_p(G_p)$  be an irreducible  $G_p$ -module that can be obtained as a  $G_p$ -epimorphic image of  $V$ . By (2.4), for  $t \geq 1$ , we have

$$a_{G,p}(t) = \sum_{V \in \mathcal{A}_p(G)} \frac{\mu_V}{|V|^t} \leq \sum_{V \in \mathcal{A}_p(G)} \frac{|U| \mu_{V,U}}{|V|^{t-1}} \leq \sum_{V \in \mathcal{A}_p(G)} \frac{\mu_{V,U}}{|U|^{t-2}} \leq b_p(t-2).$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\mathcal{M}(G_p) \leq \mathcal{V}(G_p) + \gamma \leq d + 2.5 = c.$$

It follows

$$\frac{\log(m_{p^u}(G_p))}{\log(p^u)} \leq c,$$

and consequently

$$m_{p^u}(G_p) \leq p^{u \cdot c}.$$

We deduce

$$a_G(t) = \sum_p a_{G,p}(t) \leq \sum_p b_p(t-2) \leq \sum_n \frac{n^c}{n^{t-2}}.$$

It follows

$$1 - P_G(t) \leq \sum_{M \text{ maximal}} [G : M]^{-t} \leq \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{m_n(G)}{n^t} = a_G(t) \leq \sum_{n \geq 2} n^{c+2-t}.$$

Thus, if  $t \geq c + 4.02$ , we deduce that

$$1 - P_G(t) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2.02}} = \zeta(2.02) - 1$$

which is smaller than  $\frac{e-1}{e}$ . □

#### 4. An open question

A generalization of the theorem of L.G. Kovács and Hyo-Seob Sim to arbitrary finite group is given in [7]: if a finite group  $G$  has a family of  $d$ -generator subgroups whose indices have no common divisor, then  $G$  can be generated by  $d + 2$  elements. So a natural question is whether there is an analogous of Theorem 1 for arbitrary finite groups. This is a difficult question. Denote by  $\Lambda_p(G)$ , or respectively  $\Lambda_{\text{nonab}}(G)$ , the set of the maximal subgroups  $M$  of  $G$  with the property that the socle of  $G/M_G$  is an abelian  $p$ -group, or respectively a nonabelian group. Assume that for every  $p \in \pi(G)$  there exists  $G_p \leq G$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $|G : G_p|$  and  $\mathcal{V}(G_p) \leq d$ . In order to prove an analogous of Theorem 1 we would need to deduce from this hypothesis a bound on the number of maximal subgroups of  $G$  of a given index. Imitating the arguments of the proof of Theorem 1, the assumption  $\mathcal{V}(G_p) \leq d$  can be used to estimate the number of maximal subgroups in  $\Lambda_p(G)$  in terms of  $d$ , but it remains the problem of getting an efficient estimation of the number of the maximal subgroups in  $\Lambda_{\text{nonab}}(G)$ .

We think that it could be possible to use for this purpose the assumption  $\mathcal{V}(G_2) \leq d$ . An evidence that this could work is that in [6] it is showed that the number of maximal subgroups in  $\Lambda_{\text{nonab}}(G)$  of index  $n$  in  $G$  can be bounded in terms of the cardinality  $d_2(G)$  of a minimal generating set of a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . We would need a similar result, using a subgroup of odd index instead of a Sylow 2-subgroup. In the remaining part of this section we want to discuss a question in the context of profinite groups in which a similar question arises, i.e. whether the role of a 2-Sylow subgroup can be played by an arbitrary subgroup of odd index.

A profinite group  $G$ , being a compact topological group, can be seen as a probability space. If we denote with  $\mu$  the normalized Haar measure on  $G$ , so that  $\mu(G) = 1$ , the probability that  $k$  random elements generate (topologically)  $G$  is defined as

$$P_G(k) = \mu(\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in G^k \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = G\}),$$

where  $\mu$  denotes also the product measure on  $G^k$ . A profinite group  $G$  is said to be positively finitely generated, PFG for short, if  $P_G(k)$  is positive for some natural number  $k$ . Not all finitely generated profinite groups are PFG (for example if  $\hat{F}_d$  is the free profinite group of rank  $d \geq 2$  then  $P_{\hat{F}_d}(t) = 0$  for every  $t \geq d$ , see for example [3])

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated profinite group. If the 2-Sylow subgroups of  $G$  are finitely generated, then  $G$  is PFG.*

*Proof.* Let  $h = d_2(G)$  be the smallest cardinality of a (topologically) generating set of a 2-Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . By [6, Lemma 4 (3)] (indeed a consequence of the Tate's  $p$ -nilpotency criterion), for every open normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ , a chief series of  $G/N$  contains at most  $h - 1$  non-abelian factors. This implies that  $G$  is virtually pro-soluble, and consequently  $G$  is PFG by [8, Theorem 10].  $\square$

We don't know whether the previous result remains true if we only assume that there is a closed subgroup of  $G$  which is of odd index and PFG. So we conclude this section with the following open question: *is it true that if a finitely generated profinite group  $G$  contains a PFG closed subgroup of odd index, then  $G$  is PFG?*

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L. M. Ezquerro, *Classes of finite groups*, Mathematics and Its Applications (Springer), **584**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [2] W. Gaschütz, Praefrattinigruppen, *Arch. Mat.*, **13** (1962) 418–426.
- [3] W. M. Kantor and A. Lubotzky, The probability of generating a finite classical group, *Geom. Ded.*, **36** (1990) 67–87.
- [4] L. G. Kovács and Hyo-Seob Sim, Generating finite soluble groups, *Indag. Math. (N. S.)*, **2** (1991) 229–232.
- [5] A. Lubotzky, The expected number of random elements to generate a finite group, *J. Algebra*, **257** (2002) 452–459.
- [6] A. Lucchini, A bound on the expected number of random elements to generate a finite group all of whose Sylow subgroups are  $d$ -generated, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **107** (2016) 18.
- [7] A. Lucchini, On groups with  $d$ -generator subgroups of coprime index, *Comm. Algebra*, **28** (2000) 1875–1880.
- [8] A. Mann, Positively finitely generated groups, *Forum Math.*, **8** (1996) 429–459.

**Andrea Lucchini**

*Dipartimento di Matematica Tullio Levi-Civita, Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy*  
lucchini@math.unipd.it

**Mariapia Moscatiello**

*Dipartimento di Matematica Tullio Levi-Civita, Via Trieste 63, 35121 Padova, Italy*  
mariapia.moscatiello@math.unipd.it